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Abstract: This research was aimed to examine the empirical effect of the Underwriter reputation, Financial Leverage (DER), ROA, and Earning per Share on under pricing companies which are listed in the Indonesian Stock Exchange. The analysis was based on the Underwriter Reputation, Financial Leverage (DER), ROA, and Earning per Share as independent variables and under-pricing as dependent variable. Samples of this research are companies which are listed in Indonesian Stock Exchange. Samples were taken by purposive sampling method. Documentation method was used as data collection technique. Normality test, classical assumption test, multiplied analysis linear regression, with hypotheses testing of statistic t-tests and statistic f-test were used as statistical methods. The results of statistic t-tests showed that Financial Leverage (DER) and ROA had no effects on under-pricing while Underwriter Reputation and Earning per Share were affecting under pricing.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The era of globalization that hits each country in the world in this 21st century requires us to always keep up with the changes. Inability to follow the changes will leave us behind. Changes occur every day, so does it occur in the business world. The inability of a company to follow technological developments will mean the company lags behind other companies. Competitive business environment demands all companies have more power to compete.

The current existence of capital market in a country is now becoming a benchmark in the view of a country's business capabilities. The development of capital market in Indonesia is improving rapidly, and information technology is very helpful in this improvement of capital market in Indonesia.

Corporate parties in this era of globalization begin flocking to register their companies into the Indonesian stock exchange, so that their companies would gain recognition. The main benefit that a company gains after it goes public is its recognition to obtain funding for a new development, which also makes it attractive for banks to offer generous loans. The companies thus gain a competitive advantage in their future business developments, which enhances their image and value of a company. The main objective of a company going public is to offer an Initial Public Offering or IPO in a primary market. The price of share that is sold in the primary market is determined by an agreement between the issuer and underwriter of a company, whilst the price of shares in the secondary market is determined based on market mechanism which includes supply and demand. The difference between the bid price in the primary market and the secondary market is accentuated and is a feature affecting
most companies. Overpricing and underpricing are two common events occurring in stock trading phenomena of the primary market. Based on previous studies, underpricing is the most common factor that occurs in IPO.

Previous studies have indicated different results on some variables (i.e: gap research). These differences have encouraged researcher to examine those variables, i.e underwriter reputation, financial leverage/ debt to equity ratio, return on assets and earning per share.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS

2.1 Literature Review

The underwriters will guarantee the company’s performance to enable it to go public, this assessment will vary between one underwriter to another.

Financial leverage is using a source of funds that will give a fixed cost. The use of these funds will provide greater additional advantages than the cost itself so this will increase the benefits that are provided for shareholders. Adding income and bigger advantages for shareholders are two of the strong reasons in the use of funds along with that of the fixed cost.

Return on Assets (ROA) is one form of profitability ratios to calculate the company’s capability in generating income gains by comparison with existing assets total net profits of a company. (Bambang, 1997)

Earning per share is providing an amount of money based on the profit earned by company that would be given to shareholders.

2.2 Issues

A research by Suyatmin & Sujadi (2006) indicated that Underwriter reputation affected Underpricing, while research by Adhiati (2015) and Pahlevi (2014) claimed that Underwriter reputation had no effect on Underpricing.


Research by Handayani (2008), and supported by Adhiati (2015) claimed that ROA had effect on Underpricing while a research by Pahlevi (2014) was claimed that ROA had no effect on Underpricing.

Research performed by Suyatmin & Sujadi (2006) and supported by Handayani (2008) claimed that EPS affected Underpricing while a research by Agathee, Sannassee, & Brooks (2012) claimed that EPS had no effect on Underpricing.

2.3 Theoretical Framework

2.4 Hypothesis

H1 : Underwriter Reputation affects Underpricing
H2 : Financial leverage / DER affects Underpricing
H3 : Return on Assets (ROA) affects Underpricing
H4 : Earning per share affects Underpricing

3. RESEARCH METHODS

3.1 Types of research

This types of research used quantitative research method.

3.2 Data Source And Respondents

These research studies initiated the IPO for companies which were listing in IDX 2014-2016 periods as research samples. There were 56 companies that were presenting an Initial Public Offering on IDX.

3.3 Population And Sampling

There were only 9 companies that did not experience underpricing. The remaining 47 companies experienced underpricing. One company had incomplete data whilst 5 companies which were analyzed had outdated data and had to be eliminated. This research took the population of firms that did IPO on IDX from 2014-2016. During those years there were 56 companies which were doing IPO on IDX. Sampling taken by using Purposive Sampling method, i.e. samples predetermined based on the intent and purpose of research.

The findings made by researcher are shown below:

- The company did IPO in 2014 – 2016.
- The bid price of shares in a company at IPO is lower when compared to closing price on first day of secondary market
- Completeness of data are required, price of shares offers at IPO, closing price of secondary market on first day, underwriter’s reputation, financial leverage/DER, ROA and EPS.

3.4 Data Analysis Methods

Data Analysis Methods on this research was conducted using; Normality test, Classical Assumption Analysis test (Autocorrelation, Multi-collinearity, and Heteroscedasticity), Multiple regression analysis and hypothesis test using F-test and t-test.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Normality test

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Unstandardized Residual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.05 Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normality test was using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. It can be seen on the table, level of significance (Asymp. Sig) is 0.200. The significance is 0.200, had bigger value than 0.05 so H0 was accepted. It can be concluded that this residual data was distributed normal.

4.2 Autocorrelation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Unstandardized Residual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.05 Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run test can be used to examine autocorrelation. (Raharjo, 2017). The result of run test showed that value of Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) was 0.057 > 0.05 which means this regression model failed to be rejected. Data were quite random so
data had no problems of autocorrelation.

4.3 Multicolinearity

Based on the test result of multicollinearity on table, it showed UW Tolerance (X1) value was 0.942, DER (X 2) was 0.839, ROA (X 3) was 0.671 and EPS (X 4) was 0.701. Tolerance values on all variables were greater than 0.10. While the value of UW VIF (X 1) was 1.061, DER (X 2) was 1.192, ROA (X 3) was 1.490 and EPS (X 4) was 1.427. VIF values on all variables were less than 10.00. So that it can be inferred there was no multicollinearity took place.

4.4 Heteroscedasticity

Based on the result of heteroscedasticity test using Spearman Test, significance value (Sig) of UW was 0.152, significance value (Sig) of DER was 0.192 significance value (Sig) of ROA was 0.539 (Sig) and significance value of EPS was 0.760. The value of significance (Sig) on all the variables showed bigger than 0.05 so it showed that the four variables had no heteroscedasticity symptoms.

4.5 Multiple regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (Constant)</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>If UW increased 1 point then level UP decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW</td>
<td>-0.220</td>
<td>If DER increased 1 point then level UP decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DER</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>If ROA increased 1 point then level UP decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
<td>If EPS increased 1 point then level UP decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>If EPS increased 1 point then level UP decreased</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regression equation obtained in mathematical analysis were as shown below:

Underpricing = 0.512 – 0.220 UW – 0.048 DER – 0.189 ROA – 0.002 EPS + €

The results of the regression equation can be explained:
- Constant showed 0.512 that means if UW, DER, ROA and EPS have 0 value (constant) then Underpricing of a company is valued at 0.512
- The regression coefficient -0.220 showed that if Underwriter reputation increased 1 point then Underpricing of a company will decrease 0.220
- The regression coefficient -0.048 pointed out that if DER increased 1 point then Underpricing of a company will decrease 0.048
- The regression coefficient -0.189 pointed out that if ROA increased 1 point then Underpricing companies will decrease 0.189
- The regression coefficient -0.002 showed that if EPS increased 1 point then Underpricing of a company will decrease 0.189
4.6 F-test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F Count</th>
<th>F Table</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.724</td>
<td>2.634</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>Decent Model</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result value of F count was 3.724 and F table was 2.634 showed F count had bigger value than F-table3.724 > 2.634 and level of significance < 0.05 (0.012 < 0.05) then H0 was rejected (Ha was accepted). It can be concluded that UW, DER, ROA, and EPS together had effect on Underpricing.

4.7 t-test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>t count</th>
<th>t Table</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant )</td>
<td>7.303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UW</td>
<td>-2.832</td>
<td>-2.028</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DER</td>
<td>-1.864</td>
<td>-2.028</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>-0.332</td>
<td>-2.028</td>
<td>.742</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>-2.415</td>
<td>-2.028</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hypothesis 1:
The result of hypothesis 1 test indicated t count 2.832 and t-table - 2.028. This showed that the-t count < -t table -2.832 < -2.028significance value of UW was 0.008 < 0.05 so H0 was denied and Ha was accepted, it can be concluded that UW had effect to Underpricing

Hypothesis 2:
The result of hypothesis 2 test indicated t count -1.864 and t-table - 2.028. This showed that the-t count -1.864 > -2.028significance value of DER was 0.71 > 0.05 so H0 was accepted and Ha was denied, it can be concluded that DER had no effect to Underpricing

Hypothesis 3:
The result of hypothesis 3 test indicated t count -0.332 and t-table - 2.028. This showed that -t count < -t table -0.332 > -2.028 significance value of ROA was 0.742 > 0.05 so H0 was accepted and Ha was denied, it can be concluded that ROA had no effect to Under-pricing.

Hypothesis 4:
The result of hypothesis 4 test indicated t count was 2.415 and t-table was -2.028. This showed that the-t count < -t table -2.415 < -2.028significance value of EPS was 0.021 < 0.05 so H0 was denied and Ha was accepted, it can be concluded that EPS had effect to Under pricing

4.8 Coefficient of Determination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the result Coefficient of Determination test’s output showed that Adjusted R Square was 0.214, it means 21.4% of underpricing variation could be explained from these 4 independent variables, i.e underwriter reputation, DER, ROA and EPS, while 78.6% of underpricing variation could be explained by another factors aside from model.

5. CONCLUSION

5.1 Underwriter Reputation affects Underpricing

The high reputation ofUnderwriter will give a higher price on shares with consideration to quality. The use of the high reputation ofUnderwriter by a company will provide a good signal to investors, providing high price on shares in secondary market. The Underwriter reputation is also a good signal in terms of marketsassuming that shares which are traded are of good quality, and it could increase the bidding price of shares in secondary markets, so that it will
have a positive effect on the occurrence of Underpricing. Submission of research H1 which said Underwriter Reputation affects the occurrence of underpricing could be accepted.

5.2 **Financial leverage / DER has no effect to Underpricing**

Investors and Underwriter know that the analysis of DER is necessary but there are also factors which have to be taken into consideration, such as which type of industry the company is represented in, because different types of industries will draw attention to different dependency towards amount of capital used to operate a company and the use of capital flow. These industries vary from each other. High DER might seem normal and acceptable in particular industry, but low DER might also seem reasonable on other industries. For example, capital-intensive industries such as car manufacturers tend to have DER above 2 while computer manufacturers are usually not very capital-intensive, and these types of companies much often have DER values under 0.5. So DER is used by investors to analyze the same types of industries.

The submission of H2 which stated that Financial Leverage/ Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) affects the occurrence of under-pricing could not be accepted.

5.3 **Return on Assets (ROA) has no effect Underpricing**

The prospective investors have assumed that the financial statements of a company have been on the mark-up to show that the company is performing better at the present time which initiated the company to go public, so that potential investors tend not to pay attention to the ROA presented in the financial statements of the company when the IPO is put forward, but tend to pay more attention to the ROA on the previous years before the company went public. Submission of research H3 which said ROA affects the occurrence of underpricing could not be accepted.

5.4 **Earning per share affects underpricing**

Potential investors, company parties and shareholders are usually very interested on EPS data, as this illustrates the amount of capital that will be acquired per share. This indicates the outlook for earnings and is good indicator of a company’s future success. The higher value of EPS concludes progressively higher profits provided to investors, so this will please investors. Submission of research H4 which said EPS affects the occurrence of underpricing could be accepted.
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